The essential role of Oct-2 in LPS-induced expression of iNOS in RAW 264.7 macrophages and its regulation by trichostatin A

Shao-Chun Lu,1 Hsiao-Wen Wu,1 Yen-Jen Lin,2 and Shwu-Fen Chang2

1Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei; and 2Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

Submitted 16 January 2009; accepted in final form 24 February 2009

Lu S, Wu H, Lin Y, Chang S. The essential role of Oct-2 in LPS-induced expression of iNOS in Raw 264.7 macrophages and its regulation by trichostatin A. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 296: C1133–C1139, 2009. First published March 11, 2009; doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00031.2009.—This article reports on a study of the effect of trichostatin A (TSA), an inhibitor of histone deacetylase, on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in RAW 264.7 macrophages and its underlying mechanisms. TSA pretreatment potently diminishes LPS-stimulated nitric oxide (NO) release and both mRNA and protein levels of iNOS in macrophages. The effects of TSA and LPS on transcription factors binding to two LPS-responsive elements within the iNOS promoter, one binding the NF-κB site and the other the octamer element, were investigated. Results show that TSA did not alter the LPS-activated NF-κB activity demonstrated by the nuclear translocation of p50 and p65 and by a NF-κB-driven reporter gene expression system. In addition, neither TSA nor LPS changed the expression of Oct-1, a ubiquitously expressed octamer binding protein. However, TSA suppressed the LPS-induced expression of Oct-2, another octamer binding protein, at both mRNA and protein levels. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays revealed that binding of Oct-2 to the iNOS promoter was enhanced by LPS treatment; however, pretreatment with TSA resulted in loss of this binding. Moreover, forced expression of Oct-2 by transfection of pcG-Oct-2 plasmid restored the TSA-suppressed iNOS expression elevated by LPS stimulation, further indicating that Oct-2 activation is a crucial step for transcriptional activation of the iNOS gene in response to LPS stimulation in macrophages. This study demonstrates that TSA diminishes iNOS expression in LPS-treated macrophages by inhibiting Oct-2 expression and thus reducing the production of NO.

Histone Modifications, such as acetylation, methylation, and phosphorylation, play important roles in gene expression. Acetylation is the most frequent posttranslational modification of histone. The level of histone acetylation is controlled by the opposing activities of histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) (11). Higher levels of histone acetylation lead to relaxation of the local structure of chromatin and thus increase the accessibility of transcription factors to the DNA, subsequently enhancing gene transcription. In contrast, silent genes are usually located at nucleosomes with hypoacetylated histone proteins (35).

Trichostatin A (TSA) is a potent and nonselective inhibitor of HDACs and is widely used to study the role of histone acetylation in genes. TSA treatment induces histone acetylation in mammalian cells (25, 38). However, several studies have shown that TSA treatment changes the expression of only about 2% of genes (24, 39). Aung et al. (1) reported that TSA enhanced LPS-induced expressions of Cox-2, Cxcl2, and Ltf2, whereas LPS-induced expressions of Ccl2, Ccl7, and Edn1 were suppressed by TSA in bone marrow-derived macrophages. However, the mechanisms underlying the conflicting effects of TSA on gene expression remain unclear.

Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) catalyzes the production of nitric oxide (NO), one of the most critical mediators for inflammatory response in macrophages (26, 27). The iNOS expression in macrophages is induced by a number of cytokines, such as interferon-γ (IFN-γ), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-β (IL-1β), and bacterial LPS (10, 14, 34). Although iNOS expression is under complex transcriptional and posttranscriptional control, transcriptional activation of iNOS is the main control mechanism in response to inflammatory stimuli. The 5′ flanking region of the murine iNOS gene contains two cis-regulatory elements, a NF-κB site and an octamer, which are essential for LPS-induced iNOS gene expression, and mutation at either one of these elements results in a >95% decrease in promoter activity (37). Moreover, LPS- and INF-γ-induced transcription of iNOS requires the histone acetyltransferase activity of p300 (9). Whereas Yu et al. (41) showed that LPS- and INF-γ-induced increases of iNOS promoter activity and NO production in mesangial cells and RAW 264.7 cells were reduced by TSA, Larsen et al. (20) showed that IL-1β-induced increase of iNOS expression and NO production in INS-1E cells were inhibited by TSA. These results suggest that histone acetylation also may be involved in regulating the expression of iNOS gene.

In this study, we investigated the effect of TSA on LPS-induced iNOS expression and NO production in RAW 264.7 cells. Our results show that TSA potently represses the LPS-induced NO release by decreasing the expression levels of both iNOS mRNA and protein in RAW 264.7 cells. Furthermore, the functional roles of NF-κB and octamer binding proteins in TSA-suppressed LPS-induced iNOS expression were evaluated. The results show that suppression of LPS-stimulated iNOS expression by TSA is via blockade of Oct-2 expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and cell culture. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were obtained from Gibco BRL (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD). LPS from Escherichia coli (serotype 0111:B4) and TSA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). A Griess reagent system kit was obtained from Promega (Madison, WI). SuperFect transfection reagent was purchased from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany), and SuperScript II reverse transcriptase was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Antibodies against iNOS, Oct-1, Oct-2, acetylated histone H3 (Ac-H3), and β-actin were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). An improved chemiluminescence detection system was obtained from
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plasmid pCG-Oct-2 and its parent plasmid, pCG, were generous gifts from Dr. W. Herr (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY) (33).

RAW 264.7 cells, a murine macrophage-like cell line, were cultured as described previously (21). Briefly, cells were grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, and 1,000 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin. In these experiments, Oct-1, Oct-2, and iNOS mRNA and protein levels were compared in untreated cells and in cells treated with TSA and/or LPS. Unless otherwise specified, treatment was with 200 nM TSA for 20 min before 100 ng/ml LPS treatment for 8 h.

Quantitation of NO in culture medium. The levels of NO in the culture medium of macrophages were measured using a Griess reagent system kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 50 μl of culture supernatants were gently mixed with an equal volume of sulfanilamide solution (1% sulfanilamide in 5% phosphoric acid) and incubated in the dark at room temperature (RT) for 10 min. After the incubation, 50 μl of 0.1% naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride was added to the reaction and incubated in the dark at RT for another 10 min. The absorbance at 540 nm was measured in a microplate reader. Nitrite concentration, an indicator of NO production, was calculated from a NaNO₂ standard curve.

RNA isolation and analyses of Oct-2, Oct-1, and iNOS mRNA. Total cellular RNA was isolated from RAW 264.7 cells according to the method of Chomczynski and Sacchi (7). RNA concentrations were determined from the absorbance at 260 nm. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using the SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and oligo(dT) primer. The mRNA levels of Oct-1, Oct-2, iNOS, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were determined by RT-PCR, using the specific primers described above (21). The conditions for the iNOS- and Oct-1-specific PCRs were as follows: 94°C for 3 min and 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, followed by a 7-min incubation at 72°C. The annealing temperature and cycling number in the PCR program was 57°C with 25 cycles and 61°C with 19 cycles for Oct-2 and GAPDH PCR, respectively. The amplified DNA fragments were sequenced to confirm their identity. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was used to verify the differential expression of Oct-2 and iNOS genes in response to LPS and TSA. A constitutive expressed gene, GAPDH, was used as internal control to verify the real-time qPCR reaction. Diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water for the replacement of cDNA template was used as a negative control. The amplifications were carried out in an ABI Prism 7300 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The reaction was performed in a 20-μl mixture containing 1× reaction buffer (SYBR green master mixture; Applied Biosystems) and 100 nM primers. The gene-specific primers Oct-2 (sense), 5’-GGGCGGAGCCGACAAGAAGA-3’; Oct-2 (antisense), 5’-TCTTCTAAGGCCGATAACCAGC-3’; iNOS (sense), 5’-GAAACCTCTTATACCTCTTGCAAGG-3’; iNOS (antisense), 5’-GCGACTTGGTGAAGACCTTTG-3’; GAPDH (sense), 5’-CATGGCCTTCCGTGGTCCTA-3’; and GAPDH (antisense), 5’-GGGCAAGCTTACTGGACGACTTATA-3’ were used to amplify the target DNA sequence. The amplification conditions were as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and a two-step cycle at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min for a total of 40 cycles. The specificity was verified by an additional dissociation curve. The amplified DNA was detected by 10.220.33.3 on July 7, 2017 http://ajpcell.physiology.org/ Downloaded from

Phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation and was used for PCR analysis and indicated as “input.” The number of PCR cycles was as follows: 35 PCR cycles for all the ChIP experiments and 72°C. The annealing temperature and cycling number in the PCR program was 57°C with 25 cycles and 61°C with 19 cycles for Oct-2 and GAPDH PCR, respectively. The amplified DNA fragments were sequenced to confirm their identity. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was used to verify the differential expression of Oct-2 and iNOS genes in response to LPS and TSA. A constitutive expressed gene, GAPDH, was used as internal control to verify the real-time qPCR reaction. Diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water for the replacement of cDNA template was used as a negative control. The amplifications were carried out in an ABI Prism 7300 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The reaction was performed in a 20-μl mixture containing 1× reaction buffer (SYBR green master mixture; Applied Biosystems) and 100 nM primers. The gene-specific primers Oct-2 (sense), 5’-GGGCGGAGCCGACAAGAAGA-3’; Oct-2 (antisense), 5’-TCTTCTAAGGCCGATAACCAGC-3’; iNOS (sense), 5’-GAAACCTCTTATACCTCTTGCAAGG-3’; iNOS (antisense), 5’-GCGACTTGGTGAAGACCTTTG-3’; GAPDH (sense), 5’-CATGGCCTTCCGTGGTCCTA-3’; and GAPDH (antisense), 5’-GGGCAAGCTTACTGGACGACTTATA-3’ were used to amplify the target DNA sequence. The amplification conditions were as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and a two-step cycle at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min for a total of 40 cycles. The specificity was verified by an additional dissociation curve. The amplified DNA was detected by 10.220.33.3 on July 7, 2017 http://ajpcell.physiology.org/ Downloaded from

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed to determine the binding of Oct-2 and Ac-H3 to the promoter of iNOS. Briefly, the cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C. After fixation, the cells were collected by scraping and then sonicated to fragment the chromatin. Immunoprecipitation analysis was carried out using control rabbit IgG, anti-Oct-2, or anti-Ac-H3 antibodies. Cross-links were reversed at 65°C for 4 h, and proteins were digested with proteinase K for 1 h at 45°C. Immunoprecipitated DNA was recovered by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation and was used as a template for iNOS promoter (-90 to +151) PCR amplification using forward (5'-AACCTGGGAGACCTCTCCCTTG-3’) and reverse primer (5’-CTACTCCGTTGAAGACTGACAA-3’). Ten percent of the chromatin DNA used for immunoprecipitation was similarly subjected to PCR analysis and indicated as “input.” The number of PCR cycles was as follows: 35 PCR cycles for all the ChIP experiments and 26 PCR cycles for the input samples.

Statistical analysis. Results are means ± SD. Differences between mean values were evaluated using Student’s t-test and are considered significant at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

TSA reduces LPS-induced iNOS expression and NO production. The effects of TSA, a HDAC inhibitor, on the levels of iNOS mRNA and protein in LPS-stimulated macrophages were examined. RAW 264.7 cells were exposed to 200 nM TSA for 20 min before LPS treatment, and iNOS mRNA and protein were measured by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis, respectively. Results showed that NO production increased significantly at 8 h after LPS treatment; whereas pretreatment with TSA attenuated LPS-induced NO production (Fig. 1A).
The iNOS mRNA and protein were undetectable in untreated Raw 264.7 cells, but their levels increased considerably at 8 h after LPS treatment (Fig. 1, B and C). Pretreatment of cells for 20 min with TSA lowered the LPS-induced increase in both mRNA and iNOS protein (Fig. 1, B and C). Inhibition of HDAC activities by TSA results in hyperacetylation of core histones in mammalian cells (38). To confirm the effect of TSA, we determined levels of total Ac-H3 and of Ac-H3 associated with the iNOS promoter. The results showed that LPS alone increased nuclear Ac-H3 slightly, whereas TSA significantly increased the level of Ac-H3 regardless of LPS treatment (Fig. 2A). ChIP analysis was performed to analyze the amount of Ac-H3 at the iNOS gene promoter, and the results showed that treatment with LPS resulted in a slight increase of Ac-H3 at the iNOS promoter and that the level of Ac-H3 was further enhanced by TSA treatment (Fig. 2B).

**LPS-induced NF-κB activation is not altered by TSA.** To test whether the activation of NF-κB, the main transcriptional regulator of iNOS expression, is affected by TSA treatment, both p50 and p65 in the nucleus of LPS-treated cells were assayed by Western blot analysis. Figure 3A shows that both nuclear p50 and p65 were increased at 30 min after LPS treatment, and pretreatment with TSA did not change the levels of nuclear p50 and p65. To further evaluate NF-κB activation under LPS and TSA treatment, we transfected RAW 264.7 cells with a NF-κB-driven luciferase reporter plasmid, pNF-κB-Neo-Luc, and treated with TSA and LPS, as described above, at 24 h after transfection. The luciferase activity was significantly upregulated by LPS treatment, whereas the luciferase activity in LPS-treated cells was unchanged when cells were pretreated with TSA (Fig. 3B). These results suggest that LPS-triggered NF-κB activation, including the nuclear translocation and transactivation activity, is not affected by TSA.

**LPS-elevated Oct-2 expression is blocked by TSA.** In addition to the NF-κB binding site, an octamer located at −61 to −54 of the iNOS promoter was known to be essential for transcription of the iNOS gene in response to LPS treatment (37). We then tested whether the levels of octamer binding proteins Oct-1 and Oct-2 are affected by LPS and TSA. Figure 4 shows that the levels of Oct-1 mRNA and protein in RAW 264.7 cells were unaffected in cells exposed to LPS and/or TSA; the levels of both Oct-2 mRNA and protein were significantly increased after LPS treatment, whereas pretreatment with TSA attenuated the LPS-induced Oct-2 expression at both mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 4, A and B). The mRNA levels of iNOS and Oct-2 were further measured by real-time qPCR. The results show that a 7.5- and 2.8-fold increase at iNOS mRNA and Oct-2 mRNA, respectively, was detected in cells treated with LPS, whereas only a 2.5-fold increase at iNOS mRNA and a 0.4-fold increase at Oct-2 mRNA were detected in cells pretreated with TSA followed by 8-h exposure to LPS (Fig. 5). The LPS-induced increase of iNOS and Oct-2 gene expression was prevented by pretreatment with polymyxin B, a potent antibiotic that binds to and neutralizes LPS.
before LPS treatment, suggesting that the effect is not resulted from the contaminants in the commercial LPS (data not shown).

Oct-2 binds to the promoter of iNOS. To investigate whether Oct-2 is able to bind to the iNOS promoter, nuclear proteins bound to the octamer of the iNOS promoter were pulled down by a biotinylated probe and detected by Western blot analysis. Figure 6A shows that Oct-2 was able to bind to the iNOS promoter. To further investigate binding of Oct-2 to the iNOS promoter in vivo, we performed a ChIP assay using an anti-Oct-2 antibody. Results in Fig. 6B show that the iNOS promoter encompassing the octamer element could be immunoprecipitated with the anti-Oct-2 antibody in LPS-treated RAW 264.7 cells, whereas it could not be immunoprecipitated in untreated control cells. This result indicates that LPS induces binding of Oct-2 to the promoter of iNOS. In TSA-pretreated cells, no Oct-2 binding to the promoter of iNOS was detected regardless of LPS treatment. The results suggest that Oct-2 can bind to the promoter of the iNOS gene in LPS-treated cells and is thus involved in regulating iNOS gene expression and that the binding is abolished by TSA pretreatment. A time-course study shows that Oct-2 expression was detectable at about 2–4 h before iNOS expression after LPS treatment (Fig. 6C), further supporting the suggestion that LPS induces expression of Oct-2, which then activates transcription of iNOS.

Forced expression of Oct-2 rescues the expression of iNOS in TSA- and LPS-treated cells. To further confirm the TSA effect on LPS-regulated iNOS and Oct-2 expression, RAW 264.7 cells were pretreated with lower concentrations of TSA (10 or 20 nM) for 20 min followed by LPS treatment for 8 h. Figure 7A shows that levels of both iNOS and Oct-2 protein were elevated by LPS, and both were downregulated by TSA in a dose-dependent manner. The result further supports the suggestion that LPS-elevated Oct-2 and iNOS expression can be attenuated by TSA. To test whether low expression of iNOS in TSA- and LPS-treated cells is due to reduced Oct-2 expression, we transfected RAW 264.7 cells with an increasing amount of pCG-Oct-2 DNA, an Oct-2 expression plasmid, to...
subjected to PCR and is indicated as “input.” Promoter regions of iNOS were amplified by PCR. IgG was used as a negative control with LPS and IFN-β/stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The results are similar to findings reported in two previous studies (13, 41). In their study, RAW 264.7 cells were treated with TSA, and the results showed that TSA attenuated LPS-induced iNOS expression in a dose-dependent manner. The results are in agreement with the observations of studies in macrophages and dendritic cells (3, 41). Thus it is unlikely that NF-κB is responsible for the TSA-attenuated LPS-induced iNOS gene expression shown in this study, although the inhibitory effect of butyrate on LPS-induced iNOS expression was shown to be due to downregulation of NF-κB activation (4). Other than the NF-κB binding site, the octamer on the iNOS promoter has been shown to play a critical role in LPS-induced iNOS expression. Both Oct-1 and Oct-2 are characterized as octamer binding proteins. Oct-1 is ubiquitously expressed, but with some isoforms expressing in a tissue-specific manner (23, 42). Although Oct-2 is expressed predominantly in B cells (30, 31), it is also found in neuronal cells (13), T cells (18), and macrophages (21, 22, 28). Our results show that the expression of Oct-2 is in parallel with the expression of iNOS in TSA- and/or LPS-treated cells, whereas the expression level of Oct-1 remains constant regardless of TSA and LPS treatment (Fig. 4). Binding of Oct-2 to the promoter of iNOS in LPS-treated cells was demonstrated by ChIP assay (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the present study demonstrated that ectopic expression of Oct-2 recovered TSA-attenuated LPS-induced iNOS expression. Therefore, TSA seems to inhibit Oct-2 expression and thus suppress iNOS expression in LPS-treated RAW 264.7 macrophages. Oct-2 is known to be raised in the level of Oct-2 protein. Figure 7B shows that forced expression of Oct-2 restored the protein levels of iNOS in TSA/LPS-treated cells in a dose-dependent manner. The result strongly suggests that TSA repression of iNOS expression in LPS-activated macrophages is due to loss of Oct-2 expression.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have shown that LPS-induced iNOS gene expression and NO production were attenuated by TSA in RAW 264.7 cells. The results are similar to findings reported by Yu et al. (41). In their study, RAW 264.7 cells were treated with LPS and IFN-γ for 24 h in the presence or absence of TSA, and the results showed that TSA attenuated LPS- and IFN-γ-induced nitrite production and iNOS promoter activity. Moreover, the result also resembles the observations of Chakravortty et al. (4), who showed that LPS-induced iNOS gene expression in RAW 264.7 cells was repressed by butyrate, another HDAC inhibitor. In addition, Gou et al. (12) showed that TSA attenuated iNOS expression in IFN-γ-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. TSA-inhibited inflammatory cytokine-induced expression of iNOS also has been demonstrated in pancreatic β-cells (20) and mesangial cells (40). However, TSA has been shown to enhance LPS-induced expression of iNOS in microglial cells (32). It is very likely that the effect of TSA on iNOS gene expression is cell type specific, and apparently that increase in histone acetylation does not always associate with higher iNOS gene expression.
mainly involved in the immunoglobulin gene transcription in B cells (8), and little is known of its functions in macrophages and other cells. We (21) have demonstrated that Oct-2 is involved in LPS-induced upregulation of resistin gene expression in macrophages. In this present study, we have shown that activation of Oct-2 is crucial for the transcriptional activation of the iNOS gene in LPS-stimulated macrophages.

LPS triggers expression of genes through a variety of signaling pathways in macrophages. Recent studies have shown that the activities of HAT and HDAC change in response to LPS stimulation in macrophages (2). Koch et al. (19) showed that HAT activity was significantly induced by LPS in human alveolar macrophages, whereas studies with BAL macrophages found that HDAC activity was inhibited by LPS (17). Aung et al. (1) demonstrated that expression of some HDACs is transiently suppressed and then induced by LPS. Thus the response of a specific gene expression to LPS stimulation may be regulated by the balance of HAT and HDAC activities in the particular promoter region. TSA treatment changes the balance of HAT and HDAC and results in genes that are attenuated or further enhanced under LPS treatment. In this study we have shown that TSA suppresses the LPS-induced expression of Oct-2 and subsequently attenuates the transcription of iNOS.

As far as we know, this is the first report showing the TSA effect on the expression of Oct-2 transcription factor. It remains unclear how Oct-2 expression is upregulated by LPS and which is downregulated by TSA. The mechanism that underlies TSA/LPS regulation of Oct-2 expression is currently under investigation. It is also unclear whether the effects of TSA/LPS on expressions of iNOS and Oct-2 in other macrophages, such as peritoneal macrophage or human macrophage, are similar to the results observed in this study. An interesting direction for research would be to evaluate whether Oct-2 is also induced by LPS and involved in LPS-induced iNOS gene expression.

There is considerable interest in using TSA in the treatment of cancers or inflammatory diseases, because it can reduce expression of some inflammatory genes induced by LPS; however, it enhances the expression of some other genes induced by LPS (1, 3). TSA may alter gene expression through distinct mechanisms. Other than its effect on histone acetylation, it has been reported to repress DNA methylation (29) and alter the acetylation status of various transcription factors such as p53, Stat 3, Smad7, and NF-κB (6, 16). In this report, our results provide evidence that emphasizes a novel immune-modulator role for Oct-2 that involves TSA attenuating LPS-induced iNOS expression. The precise mechanism of the effect of TSA on gene expression is not known at this time, and further approaches are needed to clarify the mechanism of TSA’s effects on LPS-induced gene expression in macrophages.
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