Regulation of cAMP dynamics by Ca$^{2+}$ and G protein-coupled receptors in the pancreatic β-cell: a computational approach
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Fridlyand LE, Harbeck MC, Roe MW, Philipson LH. Regulation of cAMP dynamics by Ca$^{2+}$ and G protein-coupled receptors in the pancreatic β-cell: a computational approach. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 293:C1924–C1933, 2007. First published October 10, 2007; doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00555.2006.—In this report we describe a mathematical model for the regulation of cAMP dynamics in pancreatic β-cells. Incretin hormones such as glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) increase cAMP and augment insulin secretion in pancreatic β-cells. Imaging experiments performed in MIN6 insulinoma cells expressing a genetically encoded cAMP biosensor and loaded with fura-2, a calcium indicator, showed that cAMP oscillations are differentially regulated by periodic changes in membrane potential and GLP-1. We modeled the interplay of intracellular calcium (Ca$^{2+}$) and its interaction with calmodulin, G protein-coupled receptor activation, adenylyl cyclases (AC), and phosphodiesterases (PDE). Simulations with the model demonstrate that cAMP oscillations are coupled to cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations in the β-cell. Slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations (<1 min$^{-1}$) produce low-frequency cAMP oscillations; and faster Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations (>3–4 min$^{-1}$) entrain high-frequency, low-amplitude cAMP oscillations. The model predicts that GLP-1 receptor agonists induce cAMP oscillations in phase with cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations. In contrast, observed antiphase Ca$^{2+}$ and cAMP oscillations can be simulated following combined glucose and tetrathyrammonium-induced changes in membrane potential. The model provides additional evidence for a pivotal role for Ca$^{2+}$, AC, and G protein-coupled receptors in cAMP signaling cascades that determine specificity of cellular responses to extracellular stimuli (28).

We sought to better understand the temporal and causal relationships between Ca$^{2+}$ and cAMP by incorporating cAMP dynamics into our developing computational model of β-cell signal transduction. This model incorporates interactions among [Ca$^{2+}$], Ca$^{2+}$-bound calmodulin (Ca$^{2+}$/CaM), and recent data on cAMP signals. Our results reveal important differences in the effects of glucose/TEA and GLP-1 on cAMP dynamics in MIN6 β-cells.
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Pancreatic β-cells secrete insulin following an increase in blood glucose concentration. Under physiological conditions, secretion of insulin is tightly regulated and depends on increases, often oscillatory, in cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ concentration ([Ca$^{2+}$]). Glucose-induced insulin secretion is regulated by signals produced by glucose metabolism that initially affect plasma membrane potential and [Ca$^{2+}$]. Adenosine 3’5’-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) signaling pathways are also important in regulating β-cell secretion (6, 17, 22). The cAMP signaling pathway involves both secretory regulatory cascades and gene expression.

The hallmark of secretion-stimulating hormones known as incretins, in particular glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP), is the activation of cAMP cascades in the β-cell following activation of adenylyl cyclases (AC) (13, 21, 37). Inhibition of cAMP cascades can also occur via receptors coupled to inhibitory G proteins. For example, the α-adrenergic agonists somatostatin and galanin decrease cAMP levels in part by inhibiting AC (37) (Fig. 1). cAMP activates protein kinase A, a multifunctional regulatory enzyme (37), while other pathways involve initial binding to the guanyl exchange proteins EPAC1 and EPAC2 (exchange proteins activated by cAMP) (22).

In pancreatic β-cells, increased cAMP leads to increased activity of voltage-dependent calcium channels (VDCC) (26, 37), decreased ATP-sensitive potassium (K<sub>ATP</sub>) channel conductance (17, 24), and increased activity of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) receptors in the endoplasmic reticulum contributing to calcium-induced Ca$^{2+}$ release (7, 23, 25, 35), among other effects. Changes in Ca$^{2+}$ influx when cAMP levels are elevated can also modulate cAMP dynamics. These findings and other recent studies suggest that Ca$^{2+}$ and cAMP are dynamically regulated to form a network that produces diverse temporal signaling patterns in β-cells (8, 28). The temporal and causal interplay between Ca$^{2+}$ and cAMP may reflect a higher order organization of second messenger signaling cascades that determine specificity of cellular responses to extracellular stimuli (28).

METHODS

Experimental Procedures

CAMP and Ca$^{2+}$ imaging. Simultaneous measurements of [cAMP], and [Ca$^{2+}$], were performed as previously described (28). Briefly, MIN6 cells transiently transfected with Epac1-camps, a unimolecular fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based indicator of cAMP (32), were loaded with 1 μM fura-2 acetoxymethyl ester (Molecular Probes) for 15–20 min at 37°C in Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate solution containing 119 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.40), and 12 mM glucose. Cells were imaged using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon TE-2000U) equipped with a 16-bit Cascade 650 digital camera (Roper Instruments). Excitation wavelength for Epac1-camps was 440 nm. Dual-wavelength emission ratio imaging at 485 nm (FRET donor, enhanced cyan fluorescent protein) and 535 nm (FRET acceptor, enhanced yellow fluorescent...
The regulation of Ca\(_{2+}\) a fluid compartment model that describes processes associated with pumps, and exchangers that describe plasma membrane ion fluxes and transport processes known to be present in cytoplasm and Ca\(_{2+}\) transport and redistribution processes as well as cAMP production and degradation in a single \(\beta\)-cell. The main features of the model other than those reflecting cAMP regulation have been described previously (11, 12). This model does not take into account possible subcellular compartmentalization of cAMP, AC, or PDE, which is an important regulatory mechanism in larger cell types such as cardiomyocytes (4) or in human astrocytoma cells (15). The importance of cAMP compartmentalization in pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells is unclear.

This model does not attempt to include all the possible factors involved in the effects of cAMP, but to illustrate the utility of our model, we have simulated the effects of the cAMP pathway on specific ion channels. This model is available for direct simulation on the website “Virtual Cell” (www.nrcam.uchc.edu) in the “MathModel Database” on the “math workspace” in the library “Fridlyand” with the name “cAMP”.

Multiple isoforms of AC and PDE are regulated by Ca\(_{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound calmodulin, defined as Ca\(_{2+}/CaM\) (4, 15). For this reason, our description of the regulation of Ca\(_{2+}\) dynamics was extended to include their interactions. Ca\(_{2+}\) binds to calmodulin (CaM) in four steps and generates four species of Ca\(_{2+}\)-bound CaM with one (Ca\(_{1}\)CaM), two (Ca\(_{2}\)CaM), three (Ca\(_{3}\)CaM) and four (Ca\(_{4}\)CaM) Ca\(_{2+}\) atoms bound with CaM. However, CaM needs to bind at least three Ca\(_{2+}\) to be active. Among the four species of Ca\(_{2+}\)-bound CaM, only Ca\(_{2}\)CaM and Ca\(_{4}\)CaM are effective in regulating AC and PDE activities (4, 15, 34). Additional details of Ca\(_{2+}\)-bound CaM dynamics are discussed in the APPENDIX.
The dynamic intracellular concentrations of cAMP are determined by the rates of cAMP synthesis and degradation and can be mathematically expressed as shown in Eq. 1:

$$\frac{d[cAMP]}{dt} = V_{AC} - V_{PDE} - k_d[cAMP],$$

where $V_{AC}$ is AC activity, $V_{PDE}$ is PDE activity, and $k_d$ is a coefficient of PDE-independent cAMP destruction, which we fix as $k_d = 0.01$ s$^{-1}$.

Rodent β-cells and insulinoma cell lines express several AC isoforms, including the Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-activated isoforms AC1, AC3, and AC6 (6, 18, 28, 29). Ca$^{2+}$-dependent regulation of AC has not been specifically examined in the β-cell. To overcome this problem, we incorporated elements of a model describing Ca$^{2+}$-dependent regulation of AC in *Aplysia* neuron R15 (42). In this model, Ca$^{2+}$-activated AC is stimulated by Ca$^{2+}$/CaM at low [Ca$^{2+}$] ($<$10 μM) and inhibited by free Ca$^{2+}$ at extremely high [Ca$^{2+}$] ($>$10 μM) (42).

In our model, Ca$_{a}$CaM and Ca$_{a}$CaM were considered equally efficient in regulating the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent AC and PDE activities, as was proposed by Yu et al. (42). This mechanism was simulated by the product of two first-order Michaelis-Menten-type kinetic equations, one fitting the stimulatory half of the bimodal curve and the other fitting the inhibitory half of the bimodal curve (42). AC activity is also dependent on the concentration of ATP (ATP). However, before our previous analysis, we have assumed that [ATP] is close to constant (in the range of 3–6 mM) in the β-cell (11). Since [ATP] is much greater than the $K_m$ for ACs, the equation for AC activity does not include the term [ATP]. In this case, the equation for AC can be combined with that of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-independent AC isoform and can be written as

$$V_{AC} = V_{ACG} + V_{ACM} \times \left[ \frac{[Ca_{a}CaM]_i + [Ca_{a}CaM]_i}{[Ca_{a}CaM]_i + [Ca_{a}CaM]_i + K_{P,ACM}} \times \frac{K_{NC}}{K_{NC} + [Ca^{2+}]_i} \right],$$

where $V_{ACG}$ is the activity of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-independent AC, $V_{ACM}$ is the basal level of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-dependent AC activity, $K_{NC}$ is the half-maximal value of [Ca$^{2+}$] for the inhibition of AC by free Ca$^{2+}$ (75 μM; derived from Ref. 42), and $K_{P,ACM}$ is the half-maximal value of ([Ca$^{2+}$/CaM] + [Ca$^{2+}$/CaM]) for the stimulation of AC by Ca$^{2+}$/CaM.

We have focused on properties of the Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-dependent isoform AC8, since that seems to be predominant in β-cells (6). This isoform has very low activity in the absence of free Ca$^{2+}$. The half-maximal activating concentration for free Ca$^{2+}$ is 0.5 μM (when CaM is added) (9), corresponding to $K_{P,ACM} = 0.348$ μM.

cAMP undergoes hydrolysis to the biologically inactive 5’-AMP by PDEs. PDE isoforms are characterized by differences in their substrate specificity (e.g., cAMP vs. cGMP), allosteric regulation, and tissue distribution (13, 15). Both islets and β-cell lines contain PDE1, the Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-activated PDE (13). A member of the Ca$^{2+}$-activated PDE1 family, PDE1C, was found to be expressed in MIN6 cells along with several other PDE isoforms (28). A first-order kinetics equation was used to describe the relationship between Ca$^{2+}$-activated PDE and Ca$^{2+}$/CaM (27, 42). The general kinetics equation from Ref. 42, with an additional term for the Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-independent PDE form, can be written as

$$V_{PDE} = \left( V_{PDE} + V_{CAPDE} \times \left( \beta_{PDE} + K_{mod,PDE} \times \frac{[Ca_{a}CaM]_i + [Ca_{a}CaM]_i}{[Ca_{a}CaM]_i + [Ca_{a}CaM]_i + K_{P,ACM}} \times \frac{K_{NC}}{K_{NC} + [Ca^{2+}]_i} \right) \right. \times \frac{[cAMP]}{K_{PDE} + [cAMP]},$$

where $V_{PDE}$ represents the activity of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-independent PDE, $V_{CAPDE}$ is the basal level of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-dependent PDE activity, $K_{PDE}$ is a constant (0.4; derived from Ref. 42), $K_{mod,PDE}$ is a scaling factor (1.2; derived from Ref. 42) that defines the dynamic range of PDE activity when activated by Ca$^{2+}$, and $K_{DPDE}$ is the half-maximal value of ([Ca$^{2+}$/CaM] + [Ca$^{2+}$/CaM]). The half-maximal value for free Ca$^{2+}$ (when CaM is added) varies from 0.27 to 3 μM for different isoforms of PDE (41). We suggest, similarly to the AC dependence, that $K_{DPDE}$ is $\sim 0.348$ μM. This is consistent with the half-maximal value for that of free Ca$^{2+}$, which is equal to 0.5 μM (see above). The rate of this enzyme reaction is also determined by [cAMP]; the equation for PDE activity includes terms for [cAMP], where $K_{DPDE}$ is the half-maximal value of [cAMP], (3.0 μM; derived from Ref. 42).

The complete system consists of seven state variables employed in the previous model (11, 12) and two new variables, [Ca$^{2+}$/CaM], and [cAMP]. Nine differential equations describe their behavior, including Eq. A1 (in APPENDIX) for [Ca$^{2+}$/CaM], and Eq. 1 for [cAMP]. Simulations were performed using the software environment as described previously (11, 12).

**RESULTS**

**Effect of Glucose and GLP-1 on cAMP Formation**

We used Epa1-camps to determine the effect of secretagogues on [cAMP]. Stimulation with 20 mM glucose increases Ca$^{2+}$ and cAMP concentration in MIN6 cells (28). [Ca$^{2+}$], oscillations were generated by application of glucose (20 mM) and tetraethylammonium chloride (TEA), an inhibitor of K+ channels (Fig. 2). In agreement with previous results (28), this treatment generated concomitant Ca$^{2+}$ and cAMP oscillations that were typically out of phase. We also investigated [cAMP], changes induced by GLP-1. GLP-1 induced slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations measured in MIN6, and [cAMP], oscillations were in phase with Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations (Fig. 3).

**Specific Features of the Model**

Previously published experimental data for [cAMP], dynamics were obtained under relative steady-state conditions or during slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations with periods of several minutes. Data for [cAMP], dynamics during fast Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations in β-cells with periods ranging from 5 to 20 s are not yet
model of the β-cell (11, 12). For example, an increase in VDCC conductance leads initially to a generation of slow [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations and then to an enhancement of Ca$^{2+}$ channel amplitude and frequency (Fig. 4A). Decreasing $K_{ATP}$ channel conductance leads to generation of slow [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations or even to an abrogation of existing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations while maintaining an increased [Ca$^{2+}$] level (Fig. 4B).

Characteristics of the experimental effects of increased cAMP in the β-cell include the generation of slow [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations or the modification of existing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations, including enhancement of their amplitude and frequency or even their abrogation, while maintaining an increased [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ (see Refs. 2, 35). All of these effects may be due to an indirect effect of [cAMP], leading to increased and/or decreased conductances of different channels. Our simulations illustrate such possibilities, although we did not model the mechanisms of these potential interactions.

cAMP Dynamics

The maximum amplitude of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$, is usually well below 10 μM in pancreatic β-cells, the concentration at which free Ca$^{2+}$ substantially inhibits AC. For this reason, although free [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ is included in the equation describing AC activity (Eq. 2), the results are focused primarily on the role of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM. We examined the ways in which the Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations influence the behavior of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM while increasing activity of AC and PDE. In all cases, levels of different forms of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM also available, and so the results of modeling cannot be readily validated under conditions of fast Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations. We therefore focused our tests of the model on simulations of steady-state and slow [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ and [cAMP]$_i$ oscillations. A simulation of fast Ca$^{2+}$ and [cAMP]$_i$ oscillations was used for frequency analysis and as a prediction of possible experimental results.

A delay occurs between changes in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ and corresponding changes in [cAMP]$_i$ levels. This lag may reflect delayed responses of the signaling pathways activating AC or PDE (8, 28, 40). We have modeled this delay by setting the maximal activities of AC and PDE isoforms at levels that replicate experimental slow [cAMP]$_i$ dynamics.

It was pointed out in the Introduction that activation of the cAMP signaling system can result in changes of the conductances of different ion channels. Although the ways in which cAMP quantitatively acts on these channels are not well understood, we were able to simulate several effects of the cAMP signaling system on ion channels by altering specific channel conductances in our previously published general
oscillate in our model parallel to oscillations of free \([Ca^{2+}]\), and have the same phase (Fig. 5, A and B). This is because, according to Eqs. A1–A5 (in APPENDIX), only changes of \([Ca^{2+}]\) can generate the alterations in concentrations of \([Ca^{2+}]\). However, because \([Ca_3CaM]\) is much greater than \([Ca_4CaM]\) (see Ref. 42), \([Ca_3CaM]\) plays the major role in regulating cAMP synthesis and degradation. \([Ca_3CaM]\) oscillates at a greater amplitude than \([Ca^{2+}]\) (Fig. 5) because all forms of \([Ca^{2+}]\) are sensitive to \([Ca^{2+}]\) (Eqs. A1–A4, APPENDIX), and their cooperative binding leads to increased \([Ca^{2+}]\) sensitivity. Because \([Ca_3CaM]\) and \([Ca_4CaM]\) mediate the effect of \([Ca^{2+}]\) on

AC and PDE, this implies that \(Ca^{2+}\) effects are amplified at the level of CaM, as was reported in a model of \(Ca^{2+}\) and cAMP signaling dynamics in *Aplysia* neurons (42).

Dynamics of [cAMP] depend on both the isoforms and activity of AC and PDE. An antiphase relationship exists between \([Ca^{2+}]\) and [cAMP], if we take into account only \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent PDE and neglect \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC (Fig. 5, A and C). If \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC drives coupling between \(Ca^{2+}\) and cAMP in the absence of \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent PDE, the calculated levels of cAMP are in phase with slow \(Ca^{2+}\) oscillations (Fig. 5, A and D). These effects can be explained by \([Ca^{2+}]\): activation of cAMP synthesis when \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC is specifically activated or by increased cAMP degradation following activation of \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent PDE (cf. Ref. 42).

With this computational background in place, we tested combinations of different isoforms of AC and PDE that might fit the published data on \(\beta\)-cell cAMP dynamics. The activities of AC and PDE were fit to suit the requirements for slow [cAMP], transitions in response to \([Ca^{2+}]\), changes. The results of this combination with low activity of \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC are shown in Fig. 6A, whereas Fig. 6B shows results obtained when the activity of \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC was increased fivefold. Low \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC activity leads to an antiphase relationship between \([Ca^{2+}]\) and [cAMP], because \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent PDE is the predominant factor in these conditions (Fig. 6A). Increased \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC activity leads to altered dynamics when the calculated level of cAMP is in phase with slow \(Ca^{2+}\) oscillations, because \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC activity then dominates the regulation of cAMP synthesis (Fig. 6B).

We simulated the effects of low (Fig. 7) and high (Fig. 8) \(Ca^{2+}/CaM\)-dependent AC activity while changing \([Ca^{2+}]\). Application of VDCC inhibitors, to decrease \([Ca^{2+}]\), was simulated by decreasing the conductance for VDCCs (Figs. 7A and 8A). (Decreasing external \([Ca^{2+}]\) leads to the same result; not shown.) This causes a rapid decrease in [cAMP] and cessation of the \([Ca^{2+}]\) and [cAMP] oscillations, suggesting that dissipation of [cAMP] oscillations is due to a termination of slow \([Ca^{2+}]\) oscillations. However, the precise behavior of

![Fig. 5. A simulation of slow Ca²⁺ oscillations, [Ca³CaM], and [cAMP], dynamics at an intermediate glucose level. A: the oscillations of [Ca²⁺], generated by the models are similar to those obtained previously (11), indicating that the extension to the model did not change the baseline activity. B: [Ca³CaM] oscillates in phase with free Ca²⁺. Activities of Ca³³/CaM-independent forms of AC and PDE are the same in every case (\(\nu_{ACO} = 0.00012 \, \text{mol/ms}; \ \nu_{PDRE} = 0.00024 \, \text{mol/ ms}). The contribution of Ca³³/CaM-dependent forms of AC and PDE to the regulation of [cAMP], is different. C: Ca³³/CaM-dependent PDE alone regulated by [Ca³⁺], (\(\nu_{cAM} = 0; \ \nu_{CAPDE} = 0.00024 \, \text{mol/ms}\)). [cAMP], was approximately antiphase to [Ca³⁺], oscillations. D: Ca³³/CaM-dependent AC alone regulated by [Ca³⁺], (\(\nu_{cAM} = 0.00005 \, \text{mol/ ms}; \ \nu_{CAPDE} = 0\)). [cAMP], was in phase with [Ca³⁺], \(\nu_{ACO}, \text{activity of Ca³³/CaM-independent AC; } \nu_{GPDE}, \text{activity of Ca³³/CaM-independent PDE; } \nu_{mCAM}, \text{basal level of Ca³³/CaM-dependent AC activity; } \nu_{CAPDE}, \text{basal level of Ca³³/CaM-dependent PDE activity.}

![Fig. 6. Contribution of AC and PDE to cAMP dynamics when both AC and PDE are regulated by Ca³³/CaM. A: Ca³³/CaM-dependent PDE activity was higher than Ca³³/CaM-dependent AC activity (\(\nu_{ACO} = 0.00024 \, \text{mol/ ms}; \ \nu_{mCAM} = 0.00005 \, \text{mol/ ms}; \ \nu_{PDRE} = 0.00024 \, \text{mol/ ms}; \ \nu_{CAPDE} = 0.00012 \, \text{mol/ ms}). B: Ca³³/CaM-dependent AC activity (\(\nu_{cAM} = 0.00005 \, \text{to 0.0003 \, \text{mol/ ms; arrow).}

\[\text{cAMP in the Pancreatic } \beta\text{-cell}\]
cAMP concentration depends on several conditions. Unlike $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$, [cAMP]$_i$ increases during low $\text{Ca}^{2+}$/CaM-dependent AC activity (Fig. 7A). With decreased synthesis, increased [cAMP]$_i$ oscillations, which is the rate constant for a $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ leak permeability from the ER in our general model for [cAMP]$_i$ oscillations (cf. Ref. 11). Although the amplitude of the simulated [cAMP]$_i$ oscillations was invariant, the amplitude of the [cAMP]$_i$ oscillations decreased drastically with increased frequency of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations. This suggests that the cAMP system can act as a low-pass filter at higher frequencies of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ oscillations. This effect can be explained by the inherent delays as a property of the cAMP biosynthesis system that we have simulated by setting the activities of AC and PDE to low levels.

Modeling the effect of increased Ca$^{2+}$-dependent AC activity at low [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ showed only modest effects on [cAMP]$_i$ (Fig. 10A). This result was obtained to simulate low glucose concentration in the medium when [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ is low. This is in contrast to the large increase in [cAMP] at high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$, as a simulation of increased glucose (Fig. 10B). This interesting effect can be explained as follows: at low glucose (and correspondingly low [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$), activation of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-dependent AC does not lead to a significant increase in rate of cAMP synthesis. This is because at low [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$, cAMP synthesis is determined mainly by Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-independent AC activity. With increased glucose content (and correspondingly increased [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$), the same increase in Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-dependent AC maximal activity does lead to an increase in cAMP synthesis (Fig. 10B), because increased [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ activates this isoform.

The dynamics of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM were represented by a single differential equation for [CaCaM]$_i$ to simplify the general model. Other Ca$^{2+}$/CaM forms were considered to be in equilibrium with [CaCaM]$_i$ (see APPENDIX). We also performed a simulation of the general model including three other forms of Ca$^{2+}$/CaM as the differential equations to test the effect of our simplification. We found that the amplitude of the [cAMP]$_i$
However, any model of independent fast and slow Ca\textsuperscript{2+} efficient value of this coefficient was 0.000115 pl/ms. At close levels. A AC activation on increased cAMP levels at different glu-

cations or to monophasic changes in [cAMP]. Our previous PDEs that give rise to synchronous or asynchronous oscilla-

tions. Fast [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], oscillations were simulated by decreasing the coefficient \(k_{IP_3}\), the rate constant for IP\textsubscript{3} production, from 0.0003 to 0.0001 \(\mu\)mol/ms (see Ref. 11 for details of the simulation of fast [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] oscillations) at a glucose level of 10 mM. The change of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i, frequency was made using the coefficient \(P_{loc}\), the rate constant for a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} leak permeability from the ER in our general model for [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i dynamics (see Ref. 11 for details). The initial value of this coefficient was 0.000115 pl/ms. At arrow 1, coefficient \(P_{loc}\) was decreased to 0.00011 pl/ms. At arrow 2, the coefficient was decreased to 0.000103 pl/ms.

was diminished only by up to 10% at high-frequency [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] oscillations (i.e., several per minute), similar to those in Fig. 9, right. Other simulations with slow [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i oscillations were virtually unchanged (not shown), and we suggest that our simplification can be correctly used in the simulations of steady-state and slow [cAMP]i dynamics.

**DISCUSSION**

We present an integrated model of β-cell cAMP dynamics with testable predictions and representative data. The model couples [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i regulation of specific Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitive ACs and PDEs that give rise to synchronous or asynchronous oscillations or to monophasic changes in [cAMP]. Our previous model served as the basis for simulation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics. However, any model of independent fast and slow Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations (see, for example, Refs. 1, 3), when coupled with Eqs. 1–3 and A1–A5, will lead to similar alterations in cAMP dynamics. Therefore, our ability to model cAMP dynamics is not a specific feature of the particular model of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics.

The results from our model are a general characteristic of cAMP dynamics when [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], levels are a driving force for changes in [cAMP]i.

**Glucose/TEA-Induced cAMP Regulation**

Using our model, we have evaluated several experimental results related to β-cell cAMP measurements. In one example, the combination of glucose stimulation plus TEA, an inhibitor of K\textsuperscript{+} channels, induced depolarization-dependent [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i, and [cAMP]i oscillations in insulin-secreting β-cell lines (Fig. 2 and Ref. 28). The mean frequency of the coupled Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and [cAMP]i oscillations was \(~\)120 s (Fig. 2 and Fig. 5A from Ref. 28), which corresponds to slow [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]i oscillations. However, oscillations of [cAMP], were almost antiphasic to oscillations of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}],. Landa et al. (28) found rapid cessation of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations with decreased [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], when the β-cells were superfused with Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free solutions (Fig. 5C from Ref. 28) or when a VDCC inhibitor (nitrrendipine) was applied (Fig. 5D from Ref. 28). Under these conditions, [cAMP]i oscillations were also ablated; however, unlike [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], [cAMP], increased. Administration of the PDE inhibitor 3-isobutyl-1-methylxantinone (IBMX) abolished [cAMP]i oscillations and increased [cAMP], (Fig. 5E from Ref. 28). IBMX did not inhibit [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], oscillations. Increased [cAMP], due to IBMX was also seen by Dyachok et al. (Ref. 8, their Fig. 3a) in INS-1 cells, where Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations were enhanced as well.

These results were closely simulated in our model, assuming a relatively low activity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/CaM-dependent AC (Fig. 7). Our analysis shows that [cAMP]i dynamics in these experiments are due to low Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/CaM-dependent AC activity under these experimental conditions and that mainly Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent PDE isoforms determine [cAMP]i dynamics. Therefore, this analysis supports the proposal by Landa et al. (28) that the dynamic effects of glucose on [cAMP] regulation are consistent with activation of a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent PDE isoform.

However, our simulation of glucose effects does not include the early transient data upon initial addition of glucose. Glucose stimulation alone has been reported to lead to either unchanged (8) or increased (6, 28, 39) steady-state [cAMP]. Simulated activation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent PDE isoforms with both increased glucose and [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], in our model leads to decreased [cAMP], (Fig. 10, A and B), but this result does not affect the dynamic part of the model. To explain the apparent contradiction, we note that there are several potential mechanisms for activation of specific AC isoforms following glucose stimulation that are not included in our model, including
membrane potential depolarization, activation of capacitative Ca\(^{2+}\) or cation entry, or activation by the phosphoinositide signaling pathway (reviewed in Ref. 4). All these processes can, potentially, lead to activation of AC and to increased [cAMP]. While intriguing, studies supporting these ideas are primarily qualitative, and there is a lack of adequate data for mathematical modeling. For this reason, we have not yet included these processes in our model.

The simulated slow changes in [cAMP] closely approximated the existing data. For example, according to Dyachok et al. (8), IBMX resulted in an increase in [cAMP], with an average half-maximal time of 23 s. We simulated these data (Fig. 7), and the corresponding time was 35 s.

A similar result was obtained by modeling inhibition of AC. The GLP-1-induced [cAMP], elevation was rapidly reversed following inhibition of AC by norepinephrine with a half-maximal suppression time of \(\sim 12\) s (8). We simulated this decrease in [cAMP], by reducing AC activity (Fig. 10B) and found that the corresponding half-time for decreased [cAMP], was 10 s, again in close agreement with Dyachok et al. (8).

The effect of fast Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations (3–4 oscillations per minute) in \(\beta\)-cells on cAMP dynamics is unknown. Our simulations (Fig. 9) as well as measurements of cAMP in human embryonic kidney cells (40) show that the amplitude of [cAMP], oscillations decreases sharply when [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillates at a frequency of 3–5 oscillations per minute. This suggests that [cAMP], oscillations may be undetectable during fast [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations in \(\beta\)-cells.

Receptor-Induced cAMP Regulation

Insulin-secreting INS-1 cells responded to GLP-1 with pronounced slow [Ca\(^{2+}\)], and [cAMP], oscillations, which were in phase (8). MIN6 cells exhibited a similar response (Fig. 3). [Ca\(^{2+}\)], and [cAMP], oscillations induced by GLP-1 immediately disappeared following reduction of extracellular [Ca\(^{2+}\)], causing a drop in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. The [cAMP], also decreased (Fig. 2b from Ref. 8). This effect was reversible with restoration of normal external [Ca\(^{2+}\)], demonstrating the importance of dynamic regulation by Ca\(^{2+}\). These results are similar to those obtained in our simulations with increased Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent AC activity (Fig. 8). This means that, according to our model, the action of GLP-1 can be explained in part by an increase in the specific Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent AC activity.

Increasing the glucose concentration from 3 to 20 mM markedly enhanced the response to GLP-1 but had a negligible effect on [cAMP]. (8), consistent with synergy between glucose and GLP-1 on cAMP production (6). This effect seems to be due to a small increase in [cAMP], following GLP-1 stimulation at low glucose levels, simulated in Fig. 10A. This is consistent with low activity of Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent AC when [Ca\(^{2+}\)], is low (see RESULTS). Thus our model was able to simulate the empirical observations on the modest effect of GLP-1 under low-glucose conditions by the simple suggestion that GLP-1 specifically increased Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent AC activity, rather than Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent AC isozymes. This mechanism describing the initial GLP-1 effect was proposed by Delmeire et al. (6), who found abundant expression of the Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent type AC8 isoform in \(\beta\)-cells. Our analysis of the dynamic properties of cAMP regulation supports a pivotal role of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent AC activation in the action of GLP-1 receptor agonists.

GLP-1 Receptor Agonsits and Specific Targets in Insulin Secretion

One noted advantage of GLP-1 agonists in the treatment of diabetes is that they increase insulin secretion only at stimulatory glucose concentrations, contributing to a low risk of hypoglycemia (22). This can be explained by the amplifying effect of increased glucose level on glucagon (or GLP-1)-induced cAMP production (6, 8). According to our simulation (Fig. 10), this effect stems from the increased activity of Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent AC isoforms by increased [Ca\(^{2+}\)].

On the other hand, sulfonylureas (e.g., tolbutamide) induce insulin secretion by directly inhibiting K\(_{ATP}\) channels, leading to increased [Ca\(^{2+}\)], even in the presence of low extracellular glucose levels (30). However, according to our simulation (Fig. 10B), increased [Ca\(^{2+}\)], should be sufficient for activation of Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-dependent AC and, consequently, for activation by GLP-1 receptor agonists even at low extracellular glucose concentrations.

Indeed, GLP-1 and tolbutamide applied simultaneously in 3 mM glucose increased insulin secretion significantly in the in situ perfused rat pancreas so that the effect of GLP-1 was uncoupled from its usual glucose dependence (5). Since the incidence of hypoglycemia increases if GLP-1 (or its analogs) are used together with sulfonylureas, the clinical combination of K\(_{ATP}\) blockers and GLP-1 agonists requires caution (36). This confirms the ability of our model to evaluate the effect of GLP-1 agonists on insulin secretion.

Role of cAMP Oscillations in Pancreatic \(\beta\)-Cells

We suggest that [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations function as a pacemaker for [cAMP], oscillations in pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells. This follows from our dynamic simulations of [cAMP], based on independent [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations, leading to simulation of different types of [cAMP], oscillations similar to experimental results. This suggestion is also supported by data showing that a PDE inhibitor (IBMX) abolished [cAMP], oscillations while not inhibiting [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations (Fig. 5E in Ref. 28) and by the finding that IBMX can stimulate [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations even during elevated, nonoscillating CAMP levels (8). Willoughby and Cooper (40) also suggested that [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations can be a pacemaker for cAMP oscillations in human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293) transfected with the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive cyclase isofrom AC8. They found that “artificial” cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations with frequencies lower than 3 min\(^{-1}\) induced cAMP oscillations (40). These oscillations were “in phase” with [Ca\(^{2+}\)], changes. This corresponds to our simulations employing AC8 as the main Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent isoform (Fig. 5B in Ref. 8). However, it is also possible that in other cell types, cAMP oscillations could be a product of interactions among CAMP, Ca\(^{2+}\), and agents that stimulate cAMP synthesis or decrease its breakdown (see, for example, Refs. 16, 31).

Oscillations of [cAMP], levels may have significant biological implications, even though they are not a pacemaker for [Ca\(^{2+}\)], oscillations. Oscillating [cAMP], may exert substantially different effects on downstream targets than would a constant level of [cAMP]. For example, pulsatile changes in [cAMP], are more efficient in inducing the release of certain...
hormones (19, 38). Since an increase in [cAMP]i activates insulin secretion in β-cells, it is possible that oscillating [cAMP]i in phase with [Ca2+]i, in response to GLP-1 treatment would facilitate this process. Other potential effects such as regulating gene expression require further study.

Effect of cAMP on Ion Channels

Agents that increase cAMP in the β-cell typically stimulate or modify [Ca2+]i oscillations. This includes enhancement of amplitude and frequency or even abrogation of existing [Ca2+]i oscillations while maintaining an increased [Ca2+]i level (2, 10, 35). We were able to simulate these effects (Fig. 4) by taking into account the different mechanisms proposed for downstream cAMP effects on specific β-cell channels. We simulated this by increasing activity of L-type Ca2+ channels (Fig. 4A) or reducing KATP channel conductance (Fig. 4B). The simulations were performed only as an illustration, since our model may not include the exact mechanisms by which cAMP modulates ion channels. The effects on Ca2+ dynamics are likely due to multiple actions of [cAMP], on several pathways, not modeled in this study.

In conclusion, we have described an integrated model of pancreatic β-cell Ca2+ and cAMP dynamics. The model includes detailed descriptions of interactions among [Ca2+]i, Ca2+/CaM, and cAMP regulation. It predicts that oscillations of cAMP levels may result from cytoplasmic Ca2+ oscillations, replicating published data. The phase shift between Ca2+ and cAMP oscillations is likely due to responses of specific isoforms of AC and PDE to [Ca2+]i, supported by the experimental results. This analysis of the dynamic data provides evidence for a pivotal role of Ca2+-dependent AC activation in the action of GLP-1 agonists. The regulatory properties of AC and PDE isoforms contribute to the characteristic dynamics of cAMP and explain a synergistic action of glucose and GLP-1 receptor agonists on insulin secretion.

APPENDIX

The dynamics of Ca2+ binding to CaM have been described previously in several models (33, 34, 42). We used as a basis for Ca2+/CaM modeling the recent model by Yu et al. (42), where the rate constants were obtained from studies with cultured mammalian cells. However, in our model, Ca2+CaM, Ca3+CaM, and Ca4+CaM can be considered to be in equilibrium with CaCaM, because we have taken into account primarily steady-state and slow processes (on the order of minutes) in β-cells, and this has been a reasonable simplification (see Results). We ignored the role of CaM changes in the total Ca2+ buffer, because CaM is only 10% of the total Ca2+ buffer (42). After these simplifications, the model for Ca2+/CaM regulation (derived from Ref. 42) can be written as

\[
\frac{d\text{[CaCaM]}}{dt} = k_{1a}\text{[Ca]}\text{[CaM]} - k_{1b}\text{[Ca2+CaM]}, \quad (A1)
\]

\[
\text{[Ca2+CaM]} = (k_{2a}k_{2b})\text{[Ca]}\text{[Ca2+CaM]}, \quad (A2)
\]

\[
\text{[Ca3+CaM]} = (k_{3b}k_{3a})\text{[Ca]}\text{[Ca3+CaM]}, \quad (A3)
\]

\[
\text{[Ca4+CaM]} = (k_{4b}k_{4a})\text{[Ca]}\text{[Ca4+CaM]}, \quad (A4)
\]

\[
\text{[CaM]} = \text{CaM} - \text{[Ca2+CaM]} - \text{[Ca3+CaM]} - \text{[Ca4+CaM]}, \quad (A5)
\]

Each Ca in the equations refers to Ca2+2. The rate constants are as follows: 

\[
\begin{align*}
k_{1a} & = 2.3 \text{ µM}^{-1}\text{ms}^{-1}, \\
k_{1b} & = 2.3 \text{ µM}^{-1}\text{ms}^{-1}, \\
k_{2a} & = 160 \text{ µM}^{-1}\text{ms}^{-1}, \\
k_{2b} & = 2.4 \text{ ms}^{-1}, \\
k_{3b} & = 2.4 \text{ ms}^{-1}, \\
k_{3a} & = 405 \text{ ms}^{-1}, \\
k_{4a} & = 405 \text{ ms}^{-1} \quad (\text{cf. Ref. 42}).
\end{align*}
\]

In all simulations the total concentration of CaM ([CaM]) was 11.25 µM (42).
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