Novel role for \( \alpha_\nu \beta_5 \)-integrin in retinal adhesion and its diurnal peak
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α\( \_5 \)-integrin is the sole integrin receptor at the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE)-photoreceptor interface and promotes RPE phagocytic signaling to the tyrosine kinase Mer tyrosine kinase (MerTK) once a day in response to circadian photoreceptor shedding. Herein we identify a novel role for α\( \_5 \)-integrin in permanent RPE-photoreceptor adhesion that is independent of α\( \_5 \)'s function in retinal phagocytosis. To compare retinal adhesion of wild-type and β\( \_5 \)-integrin/−/− mice, we mechanically separated RPE and neural retina and quantified RPE protein and pigment retention with the neural retina. Lack of α\( \_5 \)-integrin with normal expression of other RPE integrins greatly weakened retinal adhesion in young mice and accelerated its age-dependent decline. Unexpectedly, the strength of wild-type retinal adhesion varied with a diurnal rhythm that peaked 3.5 h after light onset, after the completion of phagocytosis, when integrin signaling to MerTK is minimal. Permanent α\( \_5 \)-integrin receptor deficiency attenuated the diurnal peak of retinal adhesion in β\( \_5 \)-integrin/−/− mice. These results identify α\( \_5 \)-integrin as the first RPE receptor that contributes to retinal adhesion, a vital mechanism for long-term photoreceptor function and viability. Furthermore, they indicate that α\( \_5 \)-integrin at the same apical plasma membrane domain of RPE cells fulfill two separate functions that are synchronized by different diurnal rhythms.
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LIGANDATION OF INTEGRIN RECEPTORS promotes cellular functions such as substrate adhesion to the extracellular matrix, migration, and phagocytosis. Integrin heterodimers constitute a large family of at least 24 receptors that often share and bind several ligands (20). Studies of integrin function in tissue culture have revealed striking similarities between signaling pathways elicited by integrin receptors during adhesion and during phagocytosis (6). However, matrix adhesion and phagocytosis usually occur at different subcellular domains, at the attached basal surface and at the free apical surface, respectively. Thus adhesive or phagocytic function of a given integrin receptor may be determined by its polarized localization. Alternatively, adhesion and phagocytosis in a given cell type may utilize different integrin family members. For example, macrophages that adhere to laminin via β\( \_1 \)-integrins use apical α\( \_3 \)-integrin to phagocytose apoptotic cells; adhesion of these cells to the α\( \_5 \)-integrin substrate fibrinogen redistributes α\( \_3 \) basally, diminishing apical phagocytosis (11).

α\( \_5 \)-integrin is the only integrin receptor that localizes to the apical surface of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (1, 10). RPE cells form the outermost layer of the retina. Their microvillus-rich apical domain faces the outer segment portions of photoreceptors. Activities of the RPE that are essential for function and survival of photoreceptors include removing aged fragments of photoreceptor outer segments (POS) shed once daily by apical phagocytosis and maintaining contact with intact POS at all times by apical adhesion.

Intraocular pressure and a net fluid transport from retina to RPE likely contribute to retinal adhesion. In addition, apical surface receptors of the RPE are thought to adhere to ligands of the interphotoreceptor matrix (IPM), a complex mix of proteins and proteoglycans that fills the subretinal space and ensheathes outer segment portions of rod and cone photoreceptors (14, 16, 18, 21). IPM proteoglycan rearrangement and RPE microvillus collapse are early responses to retinal detachment that, if persistent, result in RPE dedifferentiation and proliferation, POS degeneration, and photoreceptor cell death (5). Despite their obvious importance for photoreceptor survival and hence vision, we still know little about RPE surface receptors or IPM ligands that may directly mediate retinal adhesion.

The apical surface of the RPE is the sole site of α\( \_5 \) expression in the retina. Mice lacking α\( \_5 \)-integrin develop age-related blindness, illustrating the importance of α\( \_5 \) for photoreceptor maintenance (25). Wild-type mice maximize phagocytic activity by 2 h after light onset that triggers rod shedding and barely phagocytose at other times. In contrast, β\( \_5 \)-integrin/−/− (β\( \_5 \)-/−) mice lack the daily phagocytic peak but maintain significant phagocytic activity at all times.

In the present study, we set out to test whether lack of apical α\( \_5 \)-integrin alters retinal adhesion in β\( \_5 \)-/− mice compared with strain-matched wild-type (β\( \_5 \)+/+ ) mice. We first hypothesized that retinal adhesion may merely be altered at the time of peak β\( \_5 \)+/+ phagocytosis as a consequence of altered β\( \_5 \)-/− phagocytosis. However, we found a robust decrease in retinal adhesion in β\( \_5 \)-/− mice at all times of day independent of RPE phagocytic activity. To our knowledge, α\( \_5 \)-integrin is the first RPE surface receptor directly implicated in retinal adhesion. Retinal adhesion declined with age in both β\( \_5 \)-/− and β\( \_5 \)+/+ mice, suggesting that the age-related vision loss in β\( \_5 \)-/− mice is not caused by weak retinal adhesion alone. Finally, our experiments showed that retinal adhesion varied significantly with time of day, peaking daily 3.5 h after light onset in β\( \_5 \)+/+ mice and to a lesser extent in β\( \_5 \)-/− mice. These results reveal a diurnal rhythm of retinal adhesion in mammalian retina that is independent of the rhythm of retinal phagocytosis. Together, these results imply that α\( \_5 \)-integrin separately mediates both retinal adhesion and phagocytosis at the same plasma membrane domain of the RPE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and tissue collection. β\( \_5 \)-/− mice characterized in detail previously (19, 25) and β\( \_5 \)+/+ mice of the same genetic background...
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C1257

(129T2/SvEmsJ; Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were housed and bred under cyclic 12:12-h light-dark conditions (light onset at 0600) and fed ad libitum. All procedures involving animals were approved by the Weill Medical College Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

To quantify retinal adhesion, we modified a protocol described by Endo and colleagues (8). Mice were killed by CO2 asphyxiation. Lens and cornea were swiftly removed from each eviscerated eyeball in HEPEs-buffered Hanks’ saline solution containing calcium and magnesium. Eyeballs were kept at room temperature to preserve retinal adhesion (8). After transferring an individual eyebuc to an empty plastic dish, we performed a single radial cut toward the optic nerve, flattened the eyebuc retina facing up, and peeled off the neural retina with forceps from one side of the cut to the other. We stored individual neural retinas and remaining eyecups separately at −80°C. We conducted these tissue harvests in β5+/− and β5−/− mice from 1 to 21 mo of age or at different time points of the light-dark cycle.

Sample lysis. Individual whole eyecups or isolated neural retinas were solubilized in 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, and 1% NP-40, freshly supplemented with 1% each of protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Sigma). After separation of insoluble material including melainin pigment by centrifugation, we quantified the protein content of cleared lysates using the Bradford colorimetric assay (4).

RPE pigment quantification. Melanin pigment granules were contained in the insoluble pellet after sample lysis. After washing the pellet in 50% ethanol-50% ether, we dissolved the pellet in 20% DMSO-2 N NaOH at 65°C. We measured absorbance at 490 nm of samples and of commercial melanin pigment (Sigma) at defined concentrations to calculate sample pigment concentrations. We divided pigment concentration by the protein concentration of individual samples to generate a normalized microgram of pigment per milligram of protein concentration in each sample. This accounted for differences among samples in neural tissue yield. Next, we calculated the means ± SE of all samples of each experimental condition. Some samples had outlying normalized pigment concentrations compared with the mean, either because of incomplete harvest and disintegration of the neural retina (abnormally low protein) or because of sample contamination with highly pigmented iris tissue (abnormally high protein). These samples were excluded from analysis before calculation of final mean pigment values and SEs based on at least three independent retina samples for each condition. We used GraphPad Prism 4.0 software to test for significance with the Student’s t-test or ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni test as appropriate as indicated in Figs. 1 and 4.

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Immunoblotting analysis was performed only on samples that were included in pigment analysis, as described above. We separated sample lysates representing 15% of one mouse retina or 10% of a whole eyebuc in reducing sample buffer on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. After electrophoresis and protein transfer onto nitrocellulose membrane, we immunoblotted with primary antibodies to β1-integrin (9EG7, provided by D. Vestweber, Max-Planck-Institute of Vascular Biology, Münster, Germany), interphotoreceptor retinoid binding protein [IRBP; provided by B. N. Wiggert, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, MD; Ref. 27], neural cell adhesion molecule (N-CAM; provided by E. Rodriguez-Boulan, Weill Medical College), RPE65 (provided by T. M. Redmond, NIH, Bethesda, MD), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and ezrin (both from Sigma), α- and β3-integrin (both from BD Phar-mingen), β3-integrin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa cruz, CA), and Mer tyrosine kinase (MerTK; R&D Systems) and appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies followed by chemiluminescence detection (PerkinElmer). X-ray films were scanned, and signals of samples of the same experiment present on the same blot were quantified with NIH Image 1.63 software. We calculated β5−/−/β5+/+ ratios for each experiment, calculated mean ratios and SEs, and tested for significance with Student’s t-test.

RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from individual mouse eyecups with the RNaseq Total RNA isolation system (Promega) and treated with RQ1 DNAse I (Promega) using the manufacturer’s protocols. We reverse transcribed 1 μg of mRNA with the Reverse Transcription System (Promega) as instructed. We used cDNA templates to amplify integrin subunits with a custom MultiGene-12 RT-PCR profiling kit (Superarray Biosciences). We used aliquots of the same templates to amplify different regions of the β3-integrin coding sequence, the neomycin resistance cDNA, and cyclophilin A as an internal control by RT-PCR with the following oligonucleotides: β5−/+, forward 5′-ACCTCCTGTTGAAGATGCCGT and reverse 5′-CTGGTATAGGAGCTGTGTACTC; β3−/+, forward 5′-GGAAGTGGAGAGGACAGAGGGTGTCCCG and reverse 5′-GACTGCTCCCAGAGCCCACGGCTTACAGG; neomycin, forward 5′-CCGGC CGTTTGGGTGAGAGGC and reverse 5′-GTCAGCCGATTCCGGCCGAAACG; and cyclophilin, forward 5′-TGTCACAACCCACCTGTGCCCTCCTG and reverse 5′-GGTATCTTCTGTGCTGTCCTG. After separation of PCR products on 2% agarose 1× Tris-acetate-EDTA gels, we acquired digital pictures of ethidium bromide-treated samples on a GeneFlash apparatus (Syngene Bioimaging).

Immunofluorescence labeling of retinal cryosections. Eight-micrometers-thick frozen sections from paraformaldehyde-fixed eyecups were prepared and stained with antibodies to RPE65 and ezrin and fluorescent secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) as described previously (10). Images were acquired using a Leica TSP2 confocal microscopy system and recompiled using PhotoShop 7.0 software.

RESULTS

Decreased retinal adhesion in mice lacking α5β3-integrin. Specific receptor-ligand interactions that mediate retinal adhesion are thus far unknown. However, earlier studies found that increased adhesion in amphibian retina precisely coincides with the time of daily peak phagocytosis (7, 24). β5−/− mice that are deficient in α5-β3-integrin receptors lack the 0800 phagocytosis peak that follows circadian rod outer segment shedding in β5+/+ mice ~2 h after light onset at 0600 (25). To test whether reducing phagocytosis alters retinal adhesion, we determined the relative strength of retinal adhesion at 0800 in eyes of age-matched β5+/+ and β5−/− mice from 1 to 21 mo of age using established adhesion tests (3, 8). As a consequence of reduced adhesion, whole RPE cells or apical domains of RPE such as microvilli remain attached to the neural retina when the neural retina is peeled off a flattened eyebuc. Melanin pigment of the RPE retrieved with isolated neural retina correlates with the extent of RPE attachment to the neural retina, which directly reflects the strength of adhesion between RPE and POS. We observed dense patches of RPE pigment on the outer surface of neural retina peeled off β5+/+ mouse eyecups at 0800 (Fig. 1A). In contrast, β5−/− retina isolated at 0800 retained dramatically less RPE pigment in all areas, indicating weakened retinal adhesion (Fig. 1B). In some β5−/− samples, more RPE pigment was retrieved at the periphery of the retina (data not shown; Ref. 3).

Quantification of solubilized pigment of neural retina samples and normalization to retinal yield showed that significantly less pigment attached to β5−/− retina than to β5+/+ retina at 0800, the time of the RPE phagocytosis peak (Fig. 1C). This was true for all ages tested, suggesting that lack of α5β3-integrin receptors directly reduces retinal adhesion. Interestingly, pigment retrieved with the neural retina decreased with age in both β5+/+ and β5−/− mice. Average pigment content of 12-mo-old neural retina was 45% in β5+/+ mice and 34% in...
β5−/− mice compared with 1-mo-old retina of the same genotype (Fig. 1C). These data imply that retinal adhesion weakens with age even in β5+/+ mice. Lack of αvβ3-integrin receptors may accelerate this process: we retrieved 42% less melanin when harvesting neural retina from 2.5-mo-old β5−/− mice than from 1-mo-old β3−/− mice. In contrast, the same difference in age decreased melanin content of neural retina harvested from β5+/+ mice by only 26%.

To determine whether increased pigment levels in neural retina samples directly correlate with increased cell transfer and adhesion, we next determined levels of RPE- and retina-specific proteins in extracts of peeled-off neural retinas (Fig. 2A). Indeed, we detected higher levels of the RPE-specific protein RPE65 in β5+/+ retina extracts than in β5−/− retina extracts harvested from mice between 1 and 12 mo of age (see Fig. 2A for representative immunoblots and Table 1 for quantification). The same was true for ezrin, a major constituent of RPE apical microvilli (2). In contrast, we saw no difference in levels of the RPE phagocytosis receptor MerTK, possibly because MerTK expressed in the neural retina may obscure differences in RPE-derived MerTK content. However, β5−/− retina extracts of all ages contained lower levels of α5-integrin protein than β5+/+ extracts and, as expected, no β3-integrin protein (Fig. 2A and Table 1). Similar levels in β5+/+ and β5−/− neural retina extracts of IRBP and GFAP confirmed that both extracts represented the same yield of IPM and neural retina (Table 1).

Figure 2B shows that the marker proteins we selected, RPE65, ezrin, MerTK, and IRBP, were expressed at equal levels in β5−/− and β5+/+ whole eyecups. Low levels of RPE proteins RPE65 and ezrin in neural retina extracts therefore result from poor RPE-retina adhesion in α5β3-integrin-deficient mice.
Reduced levels of α5-integrin protein but not transcript in β5−/− eyecups. Integrins form a large family of heterodimeric receptors comprised of α- and β-integrin subunits, and at least 24 αβ combinations exist in vertebrates (20). In a given cell, different integrin receptors may share extracellular ligands and have overlapping functions. For instance, we showed previously (11) that macrophages can use either α5β1 or α5β3-integrin to phagocytose apoptotic cells and isolated POS fragments. Therefore, we tested whether expression levels of integrin subunits other than β5 differed between β5+/− and β5+/+ mouse eyecups. First, we compared mRNA levels of four α- and seven β-integrin subunits with a MultiGene-12 RT-PCR profiling kit. Figure 3A shows that levels of transcripts of these integrin subunits did not vary greatly between β5+/− and β5+/+ eyecups. Notably, this included transcripts of β5-integrin, because β5-specific primers of the kit amplified a fragment of the 5′ region of the β5 cDNA located upstream of the neomycin insertion site of the β5 targeting construct (Ref. 19 and personal communication with Superarray Biosciences). Because we could not obtain the precise sequence information of the proprietary primer sets included in the MultiGene-12 kit, we performed control RT-PCR amplifications testing β5 cDNA levels in aliquots of the same templates that we used for the kit reactions. As expected, using primers amplifying a 5′ region that overlaps with the neomycin gene insertion yielded a product only from β5+/+ tissue (Fig. 3B). In contrast, only β5−/− samples contained the neomycin sequence (Fig. 3B). Finally, primers recognizing sequences of the 3′ end of the β5 cDNA that is untouched by the neomycin insertion amplified products from both β5+/+ and β5−/− tissues (Fig. 3B). These data confirmed the β5+/− genotype of our β5-integrin-knockout mice. Furthermore, similar levels of cyclophilin control transcripts in both templates showed that transcripts of β3-integrin disrupted by the neomycin cassette are present in β5−/− eye cups at steady-state levels similar to those of intact β3-integrin transcripts in β5+/+ eyes.

Second, we compared expression levels of integrin subunit proteins between β5+/− and β5+/+ eyecups (Fig. 3C). Using MerTK as a loading control, we found that α5-integrin protein in β5+/− eyecups decreased by 48 ± 4% compared with β5+/+ controls (mean ± SE of 3 individual eyecups from 3 different mice). In contrast, β1- and β5-integrins were present at similar steady-state levels in β5−/− and β5+/+ eyecups, although both may form integrin receptor dimers with α5. Finally, β5−/− eyecups contained normal levels of the nonintegrin adhesion receptor N-CAM, whose apical localization in the RPE may depend on RPE-neural retina interaction, as it is lost in RPE in vitro (13). Together, these data indicate that specific reduction of α5-integrin protein in β5−/− eyecups occurs through posttranscriptional mechanisms. Normal expression levels of other adhesion proteins in β5−/− eyecups suggest that α5β3-integrin receptors may directly mediate retinal adhesion.

Role for α5β3-integrin in a diurnal rhythm of retinal adhesion independent of the rhythm of retinal phagocytosis. The data above show that loss of the synchronized peak of rod POS phagocytosis coincides with decreased retinal adhesion at 0800 in β5−/− retina. At other times of day, phagocytosis continues...
at equal levels in β5−/− retina, while phagocytosis is essentially absent in β5+/− retina (25). To determine whether phagocytic activity and retinal adhesion directly correlate, we compared β5+/+ and β5−/− retinal adhesion at different times of day before and after light onset at 0600. Strikingly, RPE melanin partitioning with the neural retina indicated that retinal adhesion in β5+/− mice varied with time of day, with a distinct peak at 0930 (Fig. 4A). Thus retinal adhesion in β5+/− mice was greatest not at the same time as, but subsequent to, the 0800 h peak POS phagocytosis (Fig. 4A). Retinal adhesion in mice lacking αβs-integrin was significantly reduced at all time points tested compared with β5+/+ retinal adhesion (Fig. 4A). Even in the absence of αβs, β5−/− retinal adhesion was greater at 0930 than at 0800. However, β5−/− adhesion differed most from β5+/− adhesion at 0930, indicating that αβs-integrin receptors contribute to the synchronized increase to maximum retinal adhesion in normal retina (Fig. 4A).

Immunoblots of neural retina extracts probed for RPE and retina markers as shown earlier (Fig. 2) supported the results of the melanin quantification. We previously showed (25) that levels of RPE65 protein in whole retina extracts do not vary with time of earlier day. However, maximum levels of RPE65, ezrin, and βs-integrin in β5+/+ neural retina samples harvested at 0930 h were 1.46 ± 0.14−, 1.44 ± 0.18−, and 1.57 ± 0.06-fold the levels in samples harvested at 0800 (means ± SE of 3–5 individual retinas from 2 or 3 different mice). This clearly demonstrated diurnal variation of retinal adhesion in wild-type mice (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, comparative immunoblotting confirmed consistently weaker retinal adhesion and an attenuated phagocytic peak in β5−/− mice (Fig. 4B).

Similar peak and nonpeak localization of RPE proteins in β5+/+ and β5−/− RPE. It is well known that the composition or spatial organization of molecules in the IPM changes with time of day (28). We therefore tested whether changes in RPE subcellular distribution may contribute to the differential content of RPE65 or ezrin in neural retina extracts that we detected in our adhesion assays. However, the micrographs shown in Fig. 5 demonstrate that neither RPE65 nor ezrin changed its localization in the RPE with time of day (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the distribution of these marker proteins did not differ between β5+/+ and β5−/− RPE (Fig. 5). Together, our results therefore identify a diurnal rhythm of retinal adhesion in mammalian retina that depends on αβs-integrin.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrate a novel role for αβs-integrin receptors in rhythmic retinal adhesion that is synchronized with the light-dark cycle. To our knowledge, there is no prior report that retinal adhesion varies with time of day in mammalian retina. We considered whether diurnal changes in RPE pigment or protein distribution might contribute significantly to the diurnal differences in their fractionation with neural retina that we interpret as a measure for retinal adhesion. Indeed, melanosomes distribute toward the apical surface of mouse RPE in response to light (12). However, our experiments show that retinal adhesion in wild-type mice increased by 58% from 2 h to 3.5 h after light onset, whereas Futter and colleagues (12) found that the fraction of RPE melanosomes localizing within apical microvilli decreases from 15.5% at 2 h to 5% at 3.5 h after light onset. Furthermore, subcellular distribution of RPE65 levels in samples harvested at 0930 compared with other time points. Changes in other marker proteins did not reach significance. Note that RPE65 levels increased significantly in β5−/− mice harvested at 0930 relative to 0800 but remained far below levels of RPE65 in β5+/+ samples at any time of day.

Fig. 4. Attenuation of a diurnal peak in retinal adhesion in β5−/− retina. A: RPE pigment content in individual peeled-off neural retina samples from 2-mo-old β5−/− and β5+/+ mice killed at different times of day as indicated (light onset at 0600). Filled bars, β5−/− samples; gray bars, β5+/+ samples. Open bars show that the reduction in β5−/− pigment content with β5+/+ pigment content is largest at the time of peak adhesion (0930). Bars represent mean ± SE relative yields of pigment of peeled-off retina; n = 3–5 individual retinas obtained from 2–4 different mice. Significant differences of pigment yield compared with yield at 0800 h (striped bars) of the same genotype: *ANOVA (P < 0.05), **ANOVA (P < 0.001). Student’s t-test indicated significantly less pigment in β5−/− samples compared with β5+/+ samples at all time points except 0630 (P < 0.05). B: representative immunoblots of individual peeled-off neural retina lysates harvested from 2-mo-old mice at times of day as indicated show significantly increased amounts of RPE65 in samples harvested at 0930 compared with other time points. Changes in other marker proteins did not reach significance.
RPE65 and ezrin did not change from time of peak to nonpeak retinal adhesion in either β5+/+ or β5−/− RPE in vivo. Finally, melanin quantification of the neural retina samples correlated very closely with partitioning of the RPE-specific cytoplasmic protein RPE65 with the neural retina. We therefore conclude that differences in retinal adhesion rather than marker mobility are responsible for the differential fractionation of marker pigment and protein we detect.

In frog retina, light onset simultaneously and directly increases both retinal adhesion and POS shedding/RPE phagocytosis (7). In contrast, our time course study reveals that maximal retinal adhesion in mice occurs 1.5 h after maximal POS phagocytosis. Moreover, in preliminary experiments, we found that diurnal changes in retinal adhesion proceed on time even in constant darkness in mice that were previously adapted to normal dark-light fluctuations (data not shown). Like murine POS shedding and phagocytosis, murine retinal adhesion may thus be regulated by circadian rhythms.

Lack of α,β5-integrin abolishes the daily rhythm of POS phagocytosis that restricts RPE phagocytic activity to a period of ~2 h following light onset in normal retina (25). Herein we have demonstrated that lack of α,β5-integrin weakens but does not eliminate RPE-POS adhesion at all times of day and additionally attenuates its synchronized daily fluctuation. Lack of α,β5-integrin receptors may decrease retinal adhesion directly. We found no evidence for expression changes in β5−/− retina of integrin subunits other than β5’s partner subunit αν, or of the cell-cell adhesion receptor N-CAM, whose apical polarity in the RPE requires interaction with photoreceptors (12). Importantly, the difference between β5−/− and β5+/+ adhesion was largest precisely at the time of peak adhesion. We conclude that α,β5-integrin contributes to retinal adhesion at all times and is particularly required for strengthening retinal adhesion 3.5 h after light onset.

Phagocytic and adhesive functions of α,β5 receptors at the apical surface of the RPE may be independent of each other, because both are defective immediately after maturation of the retina in β5−/− mice. A precedent for independent regulation of retinal adhesion and POS phagocytosis exists: vitiligo mice, which carry a mutation in the microphthalmia transcription factor gene (23), display early-onset retinal detachment that is likely due to a primary defect in retinal adhesion (3). However, vitiligo RPE cells in situ phagocytose POS with normal diurnal rhythm, albeit less efficiently than do wild-type RPE cells (22, 26). Thus α,β5-integrin in vitiligo RPE may function normally in POS phagocytosis but may not function in, or may not be sufficient for, retinal adhesion.

We previously showed (9, 25) that α,β5-integrin receptors at the apical surface of the RPE initiate a signal transduction pathway via focal adhesion kinase (FAK) that activates the essential phagocytosis receptor MerTK precisely in time for peak phagocytosis 2 h after light onset. It appears unlikely that FAK and MerTK signaling also promote peak adhesion subsequent to phagocytosis, because activities of both kinases in the retina sharply decline before retinal adhesion increases (25). Rather, α,β5 receptors at the same apical surface of the RPE may exist in two independent functional pools that utilize distinct downstream signaling pathways to promote their two distinct functions: POS phagocytosis and retinal adhesion. The highly synchronized α,β5-dependent activities at the RPE-phoretector interface provide the ideal in vivo model system to test this intriguing possibility.

Dependence of rhythmic retinal phagocytosis and adhesion on α,β5-integrin suggests that the IPM ensheathing apical RPE microvilli contains ligand proteins for α,β5-integrin that remain to be identified. Both RPE and photoreceptor cells contribute to the IPM that consists of an elaborate and regionalized network of glycoproteins and proteoglycans (16, 17, 21). The α,β5-integrin ligand vitronectin is synthesized by RPE cells in vivo and in vitro but localizes mostly to the basolateral surface of the RPE rather than to the IPM in the retina (15). Interestingly, light-to-dark transition directly stimulates changes in molecular conformation or regional distribution of IPM components in rat retina (28). Studies are under way to identify ligand-α,β5 interactions in retinal adhesion and phagocytosis and to determine whether diurnal changes in ligand availability may contribute to the timely regulation of α,β5 functions in the retina.
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